



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,678	10/22/2003	Walter Dudovicz	CS-21.274-3	4920

7590 08/18/2005
PRAXAIR, INC.
LAW DEPARTMENT-MI 557
39 Old Ridgebury Road
Danbury, CT 06810-5113

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, GEORGE BINH MINH

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3723

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

10/689,678

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------


081105

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Per the telephonic interview with Mr. Iurie Schwartz, the dependency of claim 20 was changed from claim 20 to claim 19. Thus, claim 20 now depends on claim 19.


George Nguyen
Primary Examiner

George Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3723

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,678	DUDOVICZ, WALTER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George Nguyen	3723	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) George Nguyen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Iurie Schwartz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None
Claims discussed:
claim 20
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The dependency of claim 20 was changed from claim 20 to claim 19. Thus, claim 20 depends on claim 19.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)